



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4609
Title of the Manuscript:	Scaling up of stocking density of tiger shrimp (<i>Penaeus monodon</i>) under improved farming system in Khulna region of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is a highly valuable paper that should be published. It emphasizes a vital issue: increased shrimp density in order to enhance the production level and profitability of the traditional extensive/semi-intensive farming systems in Bangladesh typically producing less than 500 kg/ha/year. The study indicates at least 10 times higher production by increased stocking density and improved farming routines. Thus, such rather plain attempts would mean a significantly higher shrimp export and better economy for the farmers. The paper is well prepared and presents the results in a clear and convincing way. A few comments are indicated in the Minor revision chapter	Thanks for nice comments regarding the article. Correction were done according to the comments.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	line 48: - - to 4-5 tons through - -, should be changed: - - to 4-5 tons/ha - - line 111: - - pH - : 'p' is in <i>Italic</i>, correct: pH Table 3: I suggest to include an extra line presenting the calculated conc. of NH₃-N (based on TAN conc., pH and temp) Line 206: Chekait [21], reference list: Cheekait [21] ? Line 299: 1. Aftabuzzaman. - - : Initials lacking Please, go through the Reference list another time to ensure that it is consistent and that all references are complete (e.g.: 17. Boyd CE. - -. The advocate - -. ?)	48. According to the comments I already revised it. Corrected Correted The reference Afrzbuzzaman is correct, the authors do not have initials. All reference checked again according to the journal style



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--